

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ABS GLOBAL, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

SPECIAL VERDICT

14-cv-503-wmc

INGURAN, LLC,

Defendant/Counterclaimant/Third-Party Plaintiff,

and

XY, LLC,

Intervening Defendant/Counterclaimant/Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

GENUS PLC,

Third-Party Defendant.

We, the jury, for our special verdict, find as follows:

I. Sherman Act

Question No. 1: Has ABS Global, Inc. ("ABS") proven by a preponderance of the evidence that sexed bovine semen processing in the U.S. is the relevant antitrust market?

Yes X No

If you answered Question No. 1 "Yes," then please answer Question No. 2. If you answered Question No. 1 "No," then please do not answer any more questions and proceed to sign and date the form.

Question No. 2: Has ABS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Inguran, LLC d/b/a Sexing Technologies ("ST"), has monopoly power in the market for sexed bovine semen processing in the U.S.?

Yes X No _____

If you answered Question No. 2 "Yes," then please answer Question Nos. 3-4. If you answered Question No. 2 "No," then please do not answer any more questions and proceed to sign and date the form.

Question No. 3: In approximately what month and year did ST achieve monopoly power in the market for sexed bovine semen processing in the U.S.?

Month July Year 2012

Question No. 4: Has ABS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that ST has willfully maintained monopoly power in the market for sexed bovine semen processing in the U.S.:

a. by insisting on anticompetitive contract terms?

Yes X No _____

b. by acquiring patents for other than competitive reasons?

Yes _____ No X

c. by its anticompetitive conduct as a whole?

Yes X No _____

If you answered Question Nos. 4a, 4b or 4c "Yes," then please answer Question No. 5. If you answered Question Nos. 4a, 4b and 4c "No," then please do not answer any more questions and proceed to sign and date the form.

Question No. 5: Has ABS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it suffered antitrust injury as a result of ST's willful maintenance of monopoly power?

Yes _____ No X



Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this 10th day of August, 2016.