UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE
BANGOR
RECEIVED AND FILED
AUG 07 2026
JENNIFER P. LYONS, CLERK
BY _____
DEPUTY CLERK

DAVID COLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

SIG SAUER, INC.,

Defendant

1:23-cv-00327-JCN

VERDICT FORM

Strict Product Liability

1. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that when Defendant sold Plaintiff David Cole's P320 pistol, the pistol was in a defective condition unreasonably dangerous due to the pistol's design or Defendant's failure to provide adequate warnings about the risks related to the use of the pistol?

   YES: _____ NO: X

   If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, answer Question 2.

   If you answered "No" to Question 1, answer Question 3.

2. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the defective condition of the P320 pistol was a legal cause of Plaintiff David Cole's injuries?

   YES: _____ NO: _____

   Answer Question 3.

Negligence

3. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant was negligent in the design of Plaintiff David Cole's P320 pistol or that Defendant negligently failed to provide adequate warnings about the risks related to the use of the pistol?

YES: _____ NO: X

If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, answer Question 4.

If you answered "No" to Question 3, answer Question 5.

4. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant's negligence was a legal cause of Plaintiff David Cole's injuries?

YES: _____ NO: _____

Answer Question 5.

Breach of Warranty of Merchantability

5. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that when Plaintiff David Cole's P320 pistol was sold, the pistol had a defect that rendered it not fit for the ordinary purposes for which similar products are used?

   YES: _____ NO: X

   If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, answer Question 6.

   If you answered "No" to Question 5, see the instructions that follow Question 6.

6. Have Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the defect that rendered the P320 pistol unfit for the ordinary purposes for which similar products are used was a legal cause of Plaintiff David Cole's injuries?

   YES: _____ NO: _____

- *If you answered "No" to Questions 1, 3, and 5, answer no more questions.*
- *If you answered "No" to Questions 2, 4, and 6, answer no more questions.*
- *If you answered "Yes" to Questions 1 and 2, or "Yes" to Questions 3 and 4, or "Yes" to Questions 5 and 6, answer Question 7.*

Signature Redacted – Original on file with the Clerk's Office

Damages

7. What amount of damages do you award Plaintiff David Cole for the injuries caused by Defendant?

   $_____

   Answer Question 8.

8. What amount of damages do you award Plaintiff Kimberly Cole for the loss of consortium?

   $_____

   Answer Question 9.

9. Have Plaintiffs proved by clear convincing evidence that they are entitled to recover punitive damages?

   YES: _____ NO: _____

   If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, answer Question 10.

   If you answered to "No" to Question 9, answer no more questions.

10. What amount of punitive damages do you award?

    $_____

Dated:

_____
JURY FOREPERSON