EFiled
Dec 22 2025 04:24PMEST
Transaction ID 78057932

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND

CRAFT, CHERIE A,	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	
v.	*	**CASE NO. 24-X-24-000005**
AHOLD DELHAIZE USA, INC., *et al.*,	*	
Defendants.	*	

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

VERDICT SHEET

1. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the following entities manufactured, sold and/or supplied a product in a condition that was defective and unreasonably dangerous to the consumer?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

If your answer to Question No. 1 is "No" for all entities, go on to consider Question No. 3.

If your answer to Question No. 1 is "Yes" for any entity, go on to consider Question No. 2 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" in Question No. 1.

2. With respect to those entities for which you answered "Yes" in Question No. 1, do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a product's defect was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

Go on to consider Question No. 3.

3. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the following entities were negligent in the manufacture, sale or supply of Johnson's Baby Powder?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "No" for all entities, go on to consider Question No. 5.

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "Yes" for any entity, go on to consider Question No. 4 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" in Question No. 3.

4. With respect to those entities for which you answered "Yes" in Question No. 3, do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the entity's negligence was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

Go on to consider Question No. 5.

5. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following entities committed fraud or deceit (fraudulent misrepresentation or concealment, as instructed) against Plaintiff regarding the safety of Johnson's Baby Powder?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

If your answer to Question No. 5 is "No" for all entities, go on to consider Question No. 7.

If your answer to Question No. 5 is "Yes" for any entity, go on to consider Question No. 6 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" in Question No. 5.

6. With respect to those entities for which you answered "Yes" in Question No. 5, do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the entity's fraud/deceit was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

Go on to consider Question No. 7.

7. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following entities took part in a conspiracy to commit an unlawful act or to use unlawful means in selling their products?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

If your answer to Question No. 7 is "No" for all entities, go on to consider Question No. 9.

If your answer to Question No. 7 is "Yes" for any entity, go on to consider Question No. 8 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" in Question No. 7.

8. With respect to those entities for which you answered "Yes" in Question No. 7, do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the conspiracy was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO _____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO _____
Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____
Kenvue, Inc.	YES ✓	NO _____

If your answer was "No" for all entities in Questions No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, and No. 8 or you were not required to answer these questions pursuant to the instructions in this Verdict Form, STOP and Sign Verdict Form Below.

If your answer was "Yes" to any of the Questions No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, or No. 8, go on to consider Question No. 9.

9. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cherie Craft's exposure to asbestos fibers was sufficient to cause cellular changes that led to her peritoneal mesothelioma before July 1, 1986?

YES ✓ NO ____

Go on to consider Question No. 10.

10. What amount of damages, if any, do you award to the Plaintiff for the following?

Future Medical Expenses	$3,540,000.00
Past and Future Lost Earnings/Earning Capacity	$2,300,000.00
Noneconomic Damages	$54,000,000.00

If your answer to Questions No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, and No. 8 was "No" as to Johnson & Johnson and Pecos River Talc LLC or you were not required to answer these questions pursuant to the instructions in this Verdict Form, go on to consider Question No. 13.

If your answer to Questions No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, or No. 8 was "Yes" as to Johnson & Johnson or Pecos River Talc LLC, go on to consider Question No. 11 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" as to Questions No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, or No. 8.

11. Do you find that Plaintiff has proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any entity listed below acted with malice against Plaintiff?

Johnson & Johnson	YES ✓	NO ____
Pecos River Talc LLC	YES ✓	NO ____

If your answer to Question No. 11 is "No" for all entities, go on to consider Question No. 13.

If your answer to Question No. 11 is "Yes" for any entity, go on to consider Question No. 12 only as to the entity(ies) for which your answer was "Yes" in Question No. 11.

12. If you answered "Yes" as to any of the following entities in Question No. 11, what amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award Cherie Craft?

Johnson & Johnson	$1,000,000,000.00
Pecos River Talc LLC	$500,000,000.00

Go on to consider Question No. 13.

13. Do you find that Defendants have proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the following entity manufactured, sold and/or supplied a product in a condition that was defective and unreasonably dangerous to the consumer?

Chanel YES _____ NO ✓

If your answer to Question No. 13 is "No," go on to consider Question No. 15.

If your answer to Question No. 13 is "Yes," go on to consider Question No. 14.

14. Do you find that Defendants have proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the product's defect was a substantial factor in causing of Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Chanel YES _____ NO _____

Go on to consider Question No. 15.

15. Do you find that Defendants have proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the following entity was negligent in the manufacture, sale or supply of their products?

Chanel YES _____ NO ✓

If your answer to Question No. 15 is "No," STOP and Sign Verdict Form Below .

If your answer to Question No. 15 is "Yes," go on to consider Question No. 16.

16. Do you find that Defendants have proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the following entity's negligence was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's peritoneal mesothelioma?

Chanel YES _____ NO _____

STOP and Sign Verdict Form Below.

Jury Foreperson

12/22/2025
Date